Corrosion Study of Iron-Cobalt Alloys for MRI-Based Propulsion Embedded in Untethered Microdevices Operating in the Vascular Network
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Abstract: Our group have shown in an experiment performed in the carotid artery of a living swine that magnetic gradients generated by a clinical magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) system could propel and navigate untethered medical microdevices and micro-nanorobots in the human vasculature. The main problem with these devices is that the metal necessary for magnetic propulsion may corrode and induce cytotoxic effects. The challenge, then, is to find an alloy with low corrosion yet providing an adequate magnetization level for propulsion in often stringent physiological conditions. Because of their high magnetization, we studied the corrosion behavior of two iron-cobalt alloys, Permendur (49% Fe, 49% Co, 2% V) and Vacoflux 17 (81% Fe, 17% Co, 2% Cr), in physiological solution by potentiodynamic polarization assay, surface analysis, and corrosion electrolyte analysis. Both alloys exhibited low corrosion parameters such as a corrosion potential ($E_{corr}$) of 20.57 V/SCE and $E_{corr}$ of 20.42 V/SCE for Vacoflux 17. The surface of Permendur samples was homogenously degraded. Vacoflux 17 surface was impaired by cracks and crevices. Both alloys had a stoichiometric dissolution in the electrolyte, and they released enough cobalt to induce cytotoxic effects. This study concluded that Fe-Co alloys could be used preferably in medical microdevices if they were coated so as not to come in contact with physiological solutions. © 2010 Wiley Periodicals, Inc. J Biomed Mater Res Part B: Appl Biomater 93B: 203–211, 2010
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INTRODUCTION

The development of untethered microdevices that can be steered in the blood vessels could benefit several minimally invasive surgeries or interventions.1 These new therapeutic microdevices, if small enough, could enhance target interventions in the microvasculature, such as in targeting tumors for therapeutic purposes. However, as the overall size of the microdevices is reduced, it becomes technologically more challenging to propel them. Different propulsion mechanisms have been proposed.2–4 However, the propulsion system and its embedded power source (usually a battery) require the device to be more than several millimeters in size. One solution that has been validated in vivo is the use of the magnetic field and magnetic gradients generated by a clinical magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) system as the energy source for propulsion.5,6 It has been demonstrated that an MRI system allows the propulsion, tracking and control of the movement of the microdevice in the blood vessels.7,8 The in vivo assay confirmed the possibility of achieving controlled displacement of such microdevices by automatically steering a ferromagnetic bead along a preplanned path in the carotid artery of a living swine.5 The bead used for the in vivo assay was made of chrome steel with 1.7 T saturation magnetization ($M_s$) and a diameter of 1.5 mm. The development of this magnetic microdevice entails investigating the biocompatibility of magnetic materials. Current metallic biomaterials such as titanium alloys, chromium-cobalt alloys, and 316L stainless steel alloys are not ferromagnetic materials. Accordingly, in the next section of this article, we selected several magnetic materials based on their magnetic properties for the propulsion and their corrosion resistance to determine the most suitable alloy for the microdevice. Then, we studied the corrosion
behavior of two iron-cobalt alloys, because, during in vivo steering assays, corrosion can degrade the microdevice and releases toxic ions. Corrosion analysis was done by potentiodynamic polarization assays, surface characterizations by scanning electron microscopy (SEM), atomic force microscopy (AFM), and static contact angle (SCA) measurement and corrosion solution analysis by inductively coupled plasma time of flight mass spectrometry (ICP-TOF-MS) to identify and quantify the ion release.

Selection of Iron-Cobalt Alloys for MRI-Based Propulsion

Several magnetic materials have been considered for the propulsion system, taking into account their saturation magnetization and their corrosion resistance. The propulsion force being induced depends on three factors: the magnetic gradient applied, the volume of the ferromagnetic body, and the magnetization of the ferromagnetic material used. The magnetophoretic velocity \( V_{\text{mag}} \) of the microdevice assimilated as a bead made of ferromagnetic material in the blood flow at low Reynolds number is defined by:

\[
V_{\text{mag}} = \frac{M_s \times V_{\text{microdevice}} \times \nabla B}{6\pi \times R_{\text{microdevice}} \times \mu}
\]

with \( M_s \) = saturation magnetization of the magnetic material (T or A/m), \( V_{\text{microdevice}} \) = microdevice volume \( (m^3) \), \( R_{\text{microdevice}} \) = microdevice radius \( (m) \), \( \nabla B \) = magnetic gradient applied \( (T/m) \), \( \mu \) = blood viscosity \( (Pa \cdot s) \). A clinical MRI system can generate a magnetic gradient of 0.04 T/m, and the blood viscosity is 0.0035 Pa s.

Iron-cobalt alloys are known for their very high saturation magnetization of 2.35 T. The biocompatibility of this soft ferromagnetic alloy does not appear to have been studied extensively. Nd-Fe-B alloys are hard ferromagnetic materials with \( M_s = 1.6 \) T and they exhibit low corrosion resistance in physiological media. Corrosion resistance remains a major limitation for medical applications if surface treatments are not considered. The saturation magnetization of iron-nickel alloys (Fe-47.5%Ni) reaches 1.5 T and its corrosion resistance appears limited. Stainless steel 304L (SS 304L) (0.023% C; 18.22% Cr; 0.34% Si; 8.58% Ni; 1.79% Mn; 0.43% Mo) usually presents a paramagnetic behavior. Therefore, this stainless steel can become ferromagnetic with \( z \) martensite structure. This alloy possesses good corrosion properties such as a large passivation interval in NaCl solution due to the formation of Cr-rich passive film, but its saturation magnetization remains 1.28 T. To choose the most suitable ferromagnetic alloy for the propulsion, the theoretical magnetophoretic velocity of the microdevice depending on its diameter has been calculated in eq. (1), and the results are displayed in Figure 1. Because of its high saturation magnetization \( (M_s = 2.35 \) T), Permendur (Fe-Co alloy) will allow a gain in the magnetophoretic velocity of 43% over chrome steel and 87% over SS 304L (Fig. 1). Moreover, Fe-Co alloys would allow further size reduction of the microdevice. For example, for both Permendur with a diameter of 1.3 mm and for SS 304L with a diameter of 1.75 mm, the magnetophoretic velocity reaches 2 m/sec (Fig. 1). Accordingly, it is required for the development of our microdevice to investigate the corrosion behavior of Fe-Co alloys.

![Figure 1. Theoretical magnetophoretic velocity of the microdevice as a function of the microdevice diameter for different magnetic materials with 0.04 T/m magnetic gradient generated by 1.5 T MRI system. Permendur \( (M_s = 2.35 \) T) and Vacoflux 17 \( (M_s = 2.2 \) T) are Fe-Co alloys. Chrome steel \( (M_s = 1.7 \) T), Nd-Fe-B alloy \( (M_s = 1.6 \) T), Fe-47.5%Ni \( (M_s = 1.5 \) T), SS 304L \( (M_s = 1.28 \) T). [Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at www.interscience.wiley.com.]](image)

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials and Sample Preparation

Two Fe-Co alloys were used: Permendur, supplied by the company Carpenter (England) and Vacoflux 17, supplied by the company Vacuumschmelze (Germany). Permendur is composed of 49% Fe, 49% Co, 2% V, and its \( M_s \) reaches 2.35 T. Vacoflux 17 is composed of 81% Fe, 17% Co, 2% Cr, with an \( M_s \) of 2.2 T. Unlike Permendur, Vacoflux 17 can be cold worked, which makes its processing easier. Both samples were 50 mm thick. The diameter of Permendur samples was 12 mm, and that of Vacoflux 17 was 7 mm. Three samples of each Fe-Co alloy were mechanically polished with SiC wet paper (240 and 400 grits). A mirror finish was obtained with alumina paste (15, 1, and 0.05 μm). One surface of the sample was connected to a copper wire by conductive tape. The wire and the sample were embedded in epoxy resin. The exposed surface of the sample was polished. The copper wire was used to connect the sample to the corrosion setup. The electrical contact was checked throughout all the steps of the corrosion setup and sample preparation. Before the corrosion assay, the sample surface was cleaned with acetone then with methanol.
Potentiodynamic Polarization Assay

This assay was done according to standard G5-94 of the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). Cyclic polarization measurements were done using a standard three-electrode cell, a platinum grid as the counter electrode, and a saturated calomel electrode (SCE) as the reference, with a micro-processor-controlled electronic potentiosstat (model EG&G, Princeton Applied Research, model 273). The electrolyte was 500 mL of Hank’s physiological solution (Sigma Aldrich) with the following composition: NaCl: 8 g/L, KCl: 0.4 g/L, NaHCO3: 0.35 g/L, KH2PO4: 0.006 g/L, Na2HPO4: 0.0475 g/L, glucose: 1 g/L, HEPES: 3.75 g/L. Before monitoring the potential, for 60 min, the solution was de-aerated with a strong flow of nitrogen gas. During the assay, the solution was maintained at 37°C under slow agitation and de-aerated by nitrogen gas bubbling. The sample was immersed in the Hank’s solution and for 60 min, the open circuit potential (Eocp) was monitored. The potential scan started at −250 mV under Eocp value, and the anodic potential value was increased at a constant rate of 0.17 mV/s up to 0.8 V/SCE. Next, the anodic potential value was decreased to −0.8 V/SCE at the same rate. The corrosion current density (i corr, A/cm2) was determined by Tafel extrapolation (CorrWare Version 2.9, Solartron Analytical). The corrosion rate (CR, μm/yr) was calculated based on the equivalent weight (g), sample area (cm2), and density (g/mL) values of the characterized samples. All potentials were expressed with reference to SCE. All the values were the average of three measurements.

Surface Analysis

The sample surface was characterized before and after the potentiodynamic polarization assays by several systems. AFM was done with a PicoSPM (Molecular Imaging) to determine the sample topography. The tips were made of silicon. The surface analysis area was 30 × 30 μm, and the surface was analyzed three times. The average roughness was determined using the software embedded within the AFM system. SEM was done with a Hitachi S-3500N SEM, and the energy applied for the observations was 20 keV. Energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) was done with Inca Energy Oxford system. The static contact angle was determined with a video contact angle system (VCA OPTIMA™, Ast Products, Inc.). A 2 μL droplet of deionised water was used, and the measure was taken after 5 seconds. The room temperature was 20°C, and the relative humidity rate was 44%. Height measurements were performed on each polished sample. Before each assay, samples were cleaned with acetone and methanol in an ultrasonic bath.

Inductively Coupled Plasma Time of Flight Mass Spectrometry

The supernatant and the precipitates taken from the corrosion electrolyte were used for these analyses. HNO3 Environmental grade (metals ions less than 100 ppb) was provided by Anachemia, and 5% HNO3 matrix was prepared with deionized ultra pure water (18.2 MΩ cm). To insure the dissolution of any solid particles, 1.0 mL of concentrated nitric acid was added to 0.5 mL of each supernatant. These solutions were diluted with a 5% nitric acid solution for the analysis. Also, 0.1829 g of Permendur precipitate and 0.1996 g of Vacoflux 17 precipitate were dissolved respectively in 1.0 mL of concentrated nitric acid. The solutions obtained were diluted with 5% HNO3 for the analysis. Co, Cr, and V were analyzed under hot plasma while, for the Fe, cool plasma was preferred to minimize the interference of the ArO+ at m/z 56. The analysis was performed with an ICP-TOF-MS model Renaissance axial from LECO. The conditions of cool and hot plasma experiments are summarized in Table I. To avoid detector overload under hot plasma conditions, ions deflection for N+, O+, H2O+, H3O+, N2+ , O2+, O2H+, Ar+, ArO+ , Ar2+ were made. The liquid standards of Co, V, Fe, Cr, were provided by Inorganicventures© and were used for the calibration. Before the calibration and the analyses, indium ion (contained in a so-called MassCal 10 standards) at m/z 115 signal was used in any condition (hot or cool plasma) to optimize the system. Each analysis was repeated four times to insure their reproducibility. The concentration of metallic elements was divided by the weight of the precipitate to compare the ion release of the two Fe-Co alloys.

RESULTS

Potentiodynamic Polarization Assay

Figure 2 and the data extracted from it (Table II) show that Permendur exhibited a corrosion potential (Ecorr) at −0.57 ± 0.01 V/SCE, and the corrosion current density reached 0.53 ± 0.17 μA/cm2. Permendur exhibited a passivation interval between −0.5 V/SCE and −0.4 V/SCE. On the other hand, on the reverse scan, the curve did not display hysteresis. Vacoflux 17 exhibited Ecorr of −0.42 ± 0.02 V/SCE and icorr of 0.06 ± 0.02 μA/cm2 (Fig. 3, Table II). During the forward scan, no active-passive transition was detected. On the reverse scan, the curve displayed a small hysteresis indicating pit or crevice formation on the sample surface. Permendur Ecop decreased from −0.325 V/SCE to −0.380 V/SCE and from −0.31 V/SCE to −0.4 V/SCE for Vacoflux 17 (Fig. 4). At the beginning

### Table I. ICP-TOF-MS Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Hot Plasma</th>
<th>Cool Plasma</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Forward Power (kW)</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma Flow (L min⁻¹)</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auxiliary Flow (L min⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebulizer Flow (L min⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detector (V)</td>
<td>−2300</td>
<td>−2200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
of the assay. $E_{ocp}$ of Vacoflux 17 dropped from $-0.31$ V/SCE to $-0.36$ V/SCE in 160 sec (Fig. 4). Then, $E_{ocp}$ increased to $-0.35$ V/SCE and remained stable at this value during 700 sec before decreasing. Table II summarizes the corrosion parameters measured during the polarization assay. The corrosion potential of Vacoflux 17 was higher than the one of Permendur. The corrosion rate of the Vacoflux 17 was not evaluated because this alloy exhibited pitting corrosion behavior.

**Surface Analysis**

Before the corrosion assay, the surface of each sample possessed a mirror finish without any polishing marks (SEM images not shown). AFM images of both Fe-Co sample surfaces before the corrosion assay have confirmed the quality of the polishing and the uniformity of the surface [Figs. 6(a) and 8(a)]. After the corrosion assay, SEM (Fig. 5) and AFM (Fig. 6) images show that the morphology of the Permendur surface has changed, and the surface has lost its mirror finish. However, the whole surface of Permendur samples was homogenously degraded, and no pits were detected (Fig. 5) as supported by the polarization curve analysis (Fig. 2). According to chemical analysis by EDS (Table III), the white deposit found on the sample surface (Fig. 5) is mainly composed of sodium and chloride, two main elements of the Hank’s solution. According to SEM images of Permendur surface (image not shown), the amount of these insoluble salts deposited on the surface increased with the duration of the potentiodynamic polarization assay. Furthermore, the insoluble salts were deposited preferentially at the grain boundaries [Fig. 5(b)]. At high magnification, the Permendur polycrystal structure [Fig. 5(b)] was imaged because an electrolytic etching of the grain boundaries has occurred during the corrosion assay. After the corrosion assay, Permendur surface morphology has resulted from a uniform progressive surface degradation [Fig. 6(b)]. AFM image has confirmed that no pits were formed on Permendur sample surface [Fig. 6(b)].

Vacoflux 17 sample surface has changed after the corrosion assay (Figs. 7 and 8). As a matter of fact, the surface lost its mirror finishing, and it was different from the Permendur surface because it was cracked (Fig. 7). The length of the cracks varied from 7 to 20 μm, and the width remained between 1 and 2 μm [Fig. 7(b)]. However, Vacoflux 17 samples displayed less insoluble salts on their surface than the Permendur samples (Figs. 5 and 7). AFM image [Fig. 8(b)] confirmed the damage to the surface and the presence of cracks. Unlike Permendur, Vacoflux 17

**TABLE II. Permendur and Vacoflux 17 Corrosion Parameters from the Potentiodynamic Polarization Assay. CR of Vacoflux 17 is Not Evaluated Because this Alloy Exhibits Pitting Corrosion Behavior**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fe-Co alloys</th>
<th>$E_{corr}$ (V/SCE)</th>
<th>$i_{corr}$ (μA/cm²)</th>
<th>CR (μm/yr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Permendur</td>
<td>$-0.57 \pm 0.01$</td>
<td>$0.53 \pm 0.17$</td>
<td>$13 \pm 4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacoflux 17</td>
<td>$-0.42 \pm 0.02$</td>
<td>$0.06 \pm 0.02$</td>
<td>$-$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 2.** Permendur polarization curve. Arrows indicate the increase and the decrease of the applied potential during the potentiodynamic polarization assay.

**Figure 3.** Vacoflux 17 polarization curve. Arrows indicate the increase and the decrease of the applied potential during the potentiodynamic polarization assay.

**Figure 4.** Open circuit potential ($E_{ocp}$) versus time for Permendur and Vacoflux 17. [Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at www.interscience.wiley.com.]
surface degradation [Fig. 8(b)] was not the result of a uniform surface degradation process.

Before the corrosion assay, Vacoflux 17 roughness (311 nm) was higher than Permendur roughness (261 nm) (Table IV). After the corrosion assay, the roughness increased by 1500 nm for the Permendur samples and by 400 nm for Vacoflux 17 (Table IV). Vacoflux 17 static contact angle value remained higher than Permendur SCA value (Table V). As a result, Permendur surface appeared more hydrophobic than Vacoflux 17 surface. The standard deviation of the contact angle values remained higher for Vacoflux 17 than for Permendur. This difference can be correlated to the roughness measured for both Fe-Co alloys before the corrosion assay (Table IV).

**ICP-TOF-MS Analysis**

At the end of the corrosion assay, it was not possible to measure the sample weight loss because the sample was embedded in the epoxy resin. The chemical analysis of the electrolyte after the corrosion assay, using ICP-TOF-MS method, constitutes a good method to evaluate the relative degradation of the two Fe-Co alloys. According to the ICP-TOF-MS analysis of Hank’s solution after corrosion assays, the composition of the Permendur precipitates found in the electrolyte was 48.5% Fe, 48.9% Co, 2.6% V, and the composition of the Vacoflux 17 precipitates was 80.2% Fe, 16.4% Co, 2.8% Cr (Table VI). The precipitates exhibited the same composition as the bulk alloy. Furthermore, the total amount of ions released was 18,291 ppb for Permendur and 16,917 ppb for Vacoflux 17. Permendur electrolyte contained higher amount of cobalt with 8971 ppb than Vacoflux 17 electrolyte with 2771 ppb. The concentration of cobalt ions in the electrolyte supernatant reached 91 ppb for Permendur and 7 ppb for Vacoflux 17. Moreover, the concentration of cobalt ions in the supernatant of the Permendur electrolyte remained much higher than the concentration of iron ions. Finally, the chromium ion

---

**Figure 5.** Permendur SEM observations after the corrosion assay (a: X200, scale bar = 200 μm; b: X2000- Region of interest indicated on image (a), scale bar = 20 μm).

**Figure 6.** Permendur sample surface morphology (a: polished surface, b: surface after the corrosion assay). The scale is in nm. [Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at www.interscience.wiley.com.]

**TABLE III. Chemical Surface Analysis by EDS for Permendur Samples (Bulk Refers to the Surface Without White Deposit)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area of analysis</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Co</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>O</th>
<th>Na</th>
<th>Cl</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bulk</td>
<td>48.8</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White deposit</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
concentration in the supernatant of the Vacoflux 17 electrolyte was much higher than its concentration in the bulk alloy and in the precipitates.

DISCUSSION

The two Fe-Co alloys investigated presented neither the same corrosion parameters nor the same surface degradation. The main differences between the two alloys were the cobalt concentration and the presence of chromium in Vacoflux 17. For iron and cobalt-based alloys for medical applications such as 316L stainless steel or Co-Cr-Mo alloy, chromium content must be near 10% to form a spontaneous passive film, increasing the corrosion resistance to values much higher than those obtained for Permanendur and Vacoflux 17. However, Vacoflux 17 did not display a passivation interval (Fig. 3) because the chromium amount in the alloy (2%) remained too low to form a stable protective layer in Fe-Co alloys, the increase of chromium amount decreases the saturation magnetization. For example, $M_s$ of a Fe-Co alloy with 10% Cr decreased to 1.3 T which is 55% of Permanendur $M_s$. Because the highest magnetization is required for the propulsion in the blood vessels, chromium proportion in Fe-Co alloys cannot be increased (Fig. 1).

The $E_{ocp}$ decrease observed for both Fe-Co alloys has confirmed that no stable protective layer was formed on the samples surface and/or the oxide layer was dissolved in the electrolyte, which is in agreement with results obtained previously on SmCo$_5$ and Nd-Fe-B magnets used for dental implants. Metallic biomaterials $E_{ocp}$ increase or stay stable. The short stabilization of Vacoflux 17 $E_{ocp}$ has confirmed that the chromium content was not high enough to form a stable protective layer.

For both alloys, the surface degradation was not promoted by defects on the surface before the corrosion assay because no polishing marks allowing local corrosion were detected by SEM and AFM analysis. The active-passive

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fe-Co alloys</th>
<th>Surface average roughness (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Before corrosion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanendur</td>
<td>261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacoflux 17</td>
<td>311</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7. Vacoflux 17 SEM observations after the corrosion assay (a: X200, scale bar = 200 μm; b: X2000- Region of interest indicated on image (a), scale bar = 20 μm).

Figure 8. Vacoflux 17 sample surface morphology (a: polished surface, b: surface after the corrosion assay). The scale is in nm. [Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at www.interscience.wiley.com.]
transition (Fig. 2) can only partially protect the Permendur surface from degradation. The Permendur passivation can be attributed to the lower proportion of iron comparatively to Vacoflux 17 because iron is very susceptible to corrosion. However, this protective layer was not stable enough to prevent Permendur surface degradation during the corrosion assay. Moreover, the surface was not protected for a wide range of potentials during the reverse scan, and Permendur surface degradation occurred. The insoluble salts, deposited on the Permendur surface, came from interactions between the electrolyte and the sample surface. Chloride ions, known to be aggressive species, were involved in the Permendur surface degradation. The progressive change of morphology shown on AFM image (Fig. 6) resulted from the polycrystal structure degradation observed on SEM image [Fig. 5 (b)].

Because Permendur was more hydrophilic than Vacoflux 17 according to SCA measurements (Table V), the surface dissolution was higher for Permendur, as confirmed by the increase of the surface roughness. The stoichiometric dissolution of Permendur and Vacoflux 17 samples, determined by ICP-TOF-MS analysis, resulted from the constant degradation of the surface during the corrosion assay (Table VI).

The high concentration of chromium ions found in the supernatant of Vacoflux 17 electrolyte confirmed the degradation of the unstable protective layer. The high concentration of cobalt ions compared with iron ion concentration in the Permendur supernatant resulted from the cobalt active dissolution. Permendur has released a much higher amount of cobalt ions than Vacoflux 17 according to SCA measurements (Table V), the surface was damaged and no pit was formed. Vacoflux 17 possessed a higher dissolution rate than Permendur, as confirmed by the increase of surface roughness. The stoichiometric dissolution of Permendur and Vacoflux 17 were different because of their high saturation magnetization. The corrosion of Permendur and Vacoflux 17 were different because of the presence of chromium in Vacoflux 17 and the high amount of cobalt in the Permendur. Permendur exhibited a low corrosion potential at −0.57 V/SCE and the corrosion rate was 13 μm/yr. Despite a small passivation interval due to the amount of cobalt, the surface was uniformly degraded and not damaged. Vacoflux 17 possessed higher Ecorr of −0.42 V/SCE, but the surface was damaged by cracks and pits. Vacoflux 17 did not possess enough chromium to form a stable protective layer. For both Fe-Co alloys, stoichiometric dissolution in the electrolyte has occurred, and a high amount of ions was released. The amount of cobalt ions released from Permendur and Vacoflux 17 can induce cytotoxic effects. For these reasons, Fe-Co alloys should not be used for medical microdevices without a metallic or polymeric surface coating to prevent contact with physiological fluids.

### CONCLUSION

Iron-cobalt alloys are the most suitable alloy for magnetic propulsion of a medical microdevice with an MRI system because of their high saturation magnetization. The corrosion of Permendur and Vacoflux 17 were different because of the presence of chromium in Vacoflux 17 and the high amount of cobalt in the Permendur. Permendur exhibited a low corrosion potential at −0.57 V/SCE and the corrosion rate was 13 μm/yr. Despite a small passivation interval due to the amount of cobalt, the surface was uniformly degraded and not damaged. Vacoflux 17 possessed higher Ecorr of −0.42 V/SCE, but the surface was damaged by cracks and pits. Vacoflux 17 did not possess enough chromium to form a stable protective layer. For both Fe-Co alloys, stoichiometric dissolution in the electrolyte has occurred, and a high amount of ions was released. The amount of cobalt ions released from Permendur and Vacoflux 17 can induce cytotoxic effects. For these reasons, Fe-Co alloys should not be used for medical microdevices without a metallic or polymeric surface coating to prevent contact with physiological fluids.

### TABLE VI. ICP-TOF-MS Analysis of Permendur and Vacoflux 17 Corrosion Precipitates and Supernatants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fe-Co alloys</th>
<th>Concentration (ppb)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permendur precipitates</td>
<td>8827</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permendur supernatant</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacoflux 17 precipitates</td>
<td>13643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacoflux 17 supernatant</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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